

You are able to comment on anything included in the Consultation Document or provide any other feedback.

Need more room?

You can attach extra pages but please make sure they include your name and contact information.

Submission Form

Your Details

Submitters Name: RAY FREEMANTLE
 Postal Address: 13 Wellington Road.
 Day Phone: 8588165 ← SAME Night Phone: SAME
 Mobile: — Email: —

Do you wish to present your comments to Council in person at a hearing? Yes ☐ No ☒

Please note that your submission (including any personal information supplied) will be made available to Councillors and the public. Submissions Close on 12 April 2019 at 5pm.

Submission Questions

1. Tell us what you think about the proposal that Council gives a \$250,000.00 suspensory loan to Water Holdings CHB Ltd to keep the prospect of water storage alive in Central Hawke's Bay.

YES

- CHECKS + BALANCES TO BE DONE BY THE COUNCIL.

3. Do you support the establishment of the Disaster Relief Fund Trust?

-YES

AS LONG WE GET OUR SHARE BACK WHEN A DISASTER HAPPENS IN OUR AREA.

2. Do you agree with the changes to the Revenue & Financing Policy?

YES

4. Do you have any feedback on the Draft Environmental Strategy?

-MORE WATER TANKS FOR NEW BUILDS IN WPA + WPA.

Any other suggestions?

- No more new play grounds, parks, pools etc spend more on the "bricks & mortar", renew old water pipes, footpaths (the basic infrastructure !!)
- Watch the future cost of rates increases !!

From: Wufoo <no-reply@wufoo.com>
Sent: Friday, 12 April 2019 2:10 PM
To: Thrive
Subject: Annual Plan 2019/20 Consultation - Feedback [#86]

Categories: Red category

Submitters Harold Petherick
Name

Postal 
Address 17 Church St
Waipawa, Hawkes Bay 4210
New Zealand

Day Phone 06 857 8886
Number

Night Phone 06 8578886
Number

Email petrocs2@gmail.com

Do you wish Yes
to present
your
comments to
council in
person at a
hearing?

Please note that your submission (including any personal information supplied) will be made available to Councillors and the public. Submissions Close on 12 April 2019 at 5pm

1. Tell us what you think about the proposal that Council give a \$250,000 suspensory loan to Water Holding CHB to keep the prospect of water storage alive in Central Hawke's Bay.

CHBDC ANNUAL PLAN SUBMISSION

Along with a handful of other rate payers I attended the last meeting of the series to discuss the 10 Year Plan that was

held recently in Waipawa. It is easy to get one eyed with an opinion on this issue of loaning capital from Council to the holders of the Intellectual Property of the failed RWSS. I admit being that way inclined myself, holding the opinion, prior to the meeting, that the CHBDC should not get involved in any way with an attempt to revitalise the RWSS or parts of value from the scheme. I am now better informed but still with reservations. The holders of the Intellectual Property acquired from the Regional Council are to be commended on their foresight and capital risk to invest in the documents involved with the intention of seeking value buried in the mountain of information.

The opportunity to advance the prosperity of our CHB district by making use of the information to devise a scheme that will be economic, protect our waterways, and make possible a reliable insurance for land use change that could provide the spring board needed to move away from the conventional land use often dictated by the vagaries of our CHB climate. Global warming or not the historical records show us that we have regular dry periods to the detriment of our rural production and thus the flow on economic effect to the towns.

Water is a limited resource and there is a need to look at how this resource is shared in a more even way rather than as we have at present, a few large users hogging a large percentage of that resource. This is an issue that needs to involve wider involvement and it will take time to unpick the mistakes of the past. Management of Resources and allocation of same is a huge issue that requires all parties to be involved, led by Government. Council have a role to play in getting this ball rolling.

The percentage of first-class soil types suitable for irrigation to grow high value crops is only a small proportion of the 333,450 hectares within the CHBDC. Any scheme needs to be geared to concentrate available resource for use on these soils where economically viable.

After considerable thought and information gathering it is my opinion that the CHBDC should provide a suspensory loan of \$250,000 to the holders of the intellectual property from the shelved RWSS, formerly held by the HBRC. This loan only to be used to research the documents held by Water Holdings CHB.

The opportunity to assist in progressing the investigation of the information held by Water Holdings CHB is an investment in the future of the district for the benefit of not only existing rate payers but their children and grandchildren

I wish to stress strongly that I have serious reservations that accompany my support for a loan. There needs to be stringent terms and conditions built into an advance of the capital, it is a once only advance. A declared position from Council that CHBDC will not get involved financially with the construction development and finance of any scheme derived from the investigation of the Intellectual Property. Such a declaration needs to be publicly made.

It would be too easy for the Council to get sucked into being involved in ongoing development if a scheme was to eventuate. The CHBDC need to have security of position with permanent right to draw water from the aquifers for domestic and commercial use in the towns. This needs to be a priority above all other users. After the debacle of the failed RWSS there is a need to establish TRUST. Ratepayers need to be able TRUST our council.

2. Do you Yes
agree with the
changes to
the Revenue
& Financing
Policy?

3. Do you Yes
support the
establishment
of the
Disaster
Relief Fund
Trust?

4. Do you No
have any
feedback on
the Draft
Environmental
Strategy?

Concentrate on the things you need to fix. E.g. sewerage.

Water storage in C.H.B has been well debated for several years and every economist or any one that can put figures together say it is not possible, without a rate payers subsidy.

I fear the \$250,000 will just be the start of a huge financial drain on rate payers. E.g. H.B.R.C.



Submission Form

87
2 of 2.

You are able to comment on anything included in the Consultation Document or provide any other feedback.

Need more room?

You can attach extra pages but please make sure they include your name and contact information.

Your Details

Submitters Name: Terry Lamont
Postal Address: 3/34 Busby Hill Hawke's Bay North.
Day Phone: 06 8771947 Night Phone: _____
Mobile: _____ Email: tenoe@extra.co.nz

Do you wish to present your comments to Council in person at a hearing? Yes ☐ No ☒

Please note that your submission (including any personal information supplied) will be made available to Councillors and the public. Submissions Close on 12 April 2019 at 5pm.

Submission Questions

1. Tell us what you think about the proposal that Council gives a \$250,000.00 suspensory loan to Water Holdings CHB Ltd to keep the prospect of water storage alive in Central Hawke's Bay.

No.
See attached letter.

3. Do you support the establishment of the Disaster Relief Fund Trust?

yes.

2. Do you agree with the changes to the Revenue & Financing Policy?

4. Do you have any feedback on the Draft Environmental Strategy?

Any other suggestions?

11 APR 2019
RECEIVED

Leigh Collecutt

From: Wufoo <no-reply@wufoo.com>
Sent: Friday, 12 April 2019 2:16 PM
To: Thrive
Subject: Annual Plan 2019/20 Consultation - Feedback [#88]
Categories: Red category

Submitters Justin Courtney
Name

Postal 
Address 283 Princes Street
 Dunedin, Otago 9054
 New Zealand

Day Phone 0274441322
Number

Email justin.courtney@silverfernfarms.co.nz

Do you wish Yes
to present
your
comments to
council in
person at a
hearing?

Please note that your submission (including any personal information supplied) will be made available to Councillors and the public. Submissions Close on 12 April 2019 at 5pm

1. Tell us what you think about the proposal that Council give a \$250,000 suspensory loan to Water Holding CHB to keep the prospect of water storage alive in Central Hawke's Bay.

We support this proposal.

We believe security of water will lead to a mosaic of land uses, of which the growing of beef, lamb and sheep forage and feedstuffs will be one which will ultimately increase the economic resilience for the region.

Climatic conditions which are accentuated through climate change, coupled with market supply and food processing requirements, mean water storage for irrigation is essential for consistent and quality food production in some areas.

A lack of security of water can restrict primary production and effectively place a moratorium on land use, and as a consequence initiate a slow decline in the economy of the region.

A reduction in the reliability of water supply could compromise the viability of existing and future meat processing operations through a decline of stock available for processing.

Diminishing water security is counter-productive to ensuring a sustainable community.

Additionally, the proposal supports the proposed Environment strategy's aim of managing for climate change.

4. Do you have any feedback on the Draft Environmental Strategy? We support this plan. In particular the establishment of the the Community Environmental and Sustainability Reference Group, long term water supply storage solution, the Solid Waste Management and Minimisation Plan, and continued focus on biodiversity – which we support.

Submission Form

89

1 of 3

You are able to comment on anything included in the Consultation Document or provide any other feedback.

Need more room?

You can attach extra pages but please make sure they include your name and contact information.

Your Details

Submitters Name: PETER CHARLTON-JONES

Postal Address: 212 Argall Rd RD 1 OTANE CHB 4276

Day Phone: 06 8568367 Night Phone: 8568367

Mobile: 027 4954426 Email: petercharltonjones@gmail.com

Do you wish to present your comments to Council in person at a hearing? Yes ☐ No ☒

Please note that your submission (including any personal information supplied) will be made available to Councillors and the public. Submissions Close on 12 April 2019 at 5pm.

Submission Questions

1. Tell us what you think about the proposal that Council gives a \$250,000.00 suspensory loan to Water Holdings CHB Ltd to keep the prospect of water storage alive in Central Hawke's Bay.

See extra pages

3. Do you support the establishment of the Disaster Relief Fund Trust?

yes

2. Do you agree with the changes to the Revenue & Financing Policy?

4. Do you have any feedback on the Draft Environmental Strategy?

current
great - but moves to
Support Water Storage
Makes project Thrive
a joke.

Any other suggestions?

CENTRAL HAWKE'S BAY
DISTRICT COUNCIL

11 APR 2019

RECEIVED

#89
2 of 3

Submission Form:

Peter Charlton-Jones,
212 Argyll Road,
RD 1,
Otane, C.H.B. Phone; 8568367. 0274954426.

Question. 1

The proposal that the Council gives a \$250,000 suspensory loan to Water Holdings CHB Ltd. To keep the prospect of water storage alive in Central Hawkes Bay.

The Council has achieved much over its term in office. I look with pride at the new amenities and new initiatives within our district and I am grateful for its work, But...

I **Do Not** want the District Council to use public money to fund this private company.

1. The Council is not a Commercial Bank. The Councils core business is not loaning money to private companies. Why isn't it a real loan with interest? Does this action set president? Can other businesses ask for loans?
2. It appears to me that a suspensory loan in the long run, if conditions are met, becomes a gift. The district will need this "gift" in the future as changes in weather patterns cause destructive storms that take out bridges and roads flooding in our towns etc. The Council may have to take out loans to cover the clean-up at a cost to rate payers. Just because we have \$ 811,000 sitting there acquired from past rate payers, we don't need to give it away. The fund is subsidising rates. To give away 30% of the principle of public money will certainly have an affect on our rates.
3. 67% of C.H.B. water is used for intensive farming. The town and less intensive farming would have the water they need if distribution was managed evenly. A Dam on the Makarora River will impact heavily on this river system. I want it intact for our children's children's children.
4. At the turn of the 20th century, the the Liberal Government legislated against large land holders acquiring more land. The land acquisition was restricting others right to acquiring land and from making a living from farming. This in effect shaped the farm land use for the next 90 years. Now as we look to this project as an example, the same situation is beginning with our water. Why should a few have greater rights to the water than the rest of us. If the company wants this project to go ahead then pay for it, don't dress it up as being for the benefit of all. Then ask for public money.
5. There is a trend away from environmentally destructive initiatives. In Australia young people oppose the development of coal mining. We in NZ are not taking carbon admissions seriously. It is already too late to keep admissions below two. For the Council to financially support a plan to intensify farming that is shown to be destructive to rivers and land is morally wrong.

#189
3 of 3

Peter Charlton-Jones,
212 Argyll Road,
RD 1,
Otane, C.H.B. Phone; 8568367. 0274954426.

6. My view is the water is only needed to increase farm production, intensify farming practice and increasing nitrification and creating other forms pollution by changing the ecosystems of Central Hawkes Bay's rivers. Again in my view making them recreationally unusable to the wider population and overseas visitors. It is argued that restrictions on irrigation will prevent this. Who's going to monitor this and prosecute. I doubt the restrictions will be effective. The IP was researched and created by using \$18 million of public money. Morally the IP belongs to those who funded it. Rate payers have already subsidised this private company.

7.
I am opposed to the use of council money to fund the Water Holdings HB project. No matter what arguments are presented, I believe this proposed project is environmentally destructive and wont benefit many in the district. I believe the proposed project will restrict others right to access future water use including the rivers of our district for the sake of the rights of a few to use water for the current short-sighted practice of intensive farming. Farming needs to continue finding its direction, developing new practices, away from use, use, abuse to a modern practice working with the environment. Some types of farming are fledgling in the development of new practice. When farming finds its new feet, the district may find providing huge water storage at cost to the environment, was a waste of money. It is a risky investment.

Submission Form

#90.

You are able to comment on anything included in the Consultation Document or provide any other feedback.

Need more room?

You can attach extra pages but please make sure they include your name and contact information.

Your Details

Submitters Name: FLORA HARTY

Postal Address: R.D. 2, Otane

Day Phone: 0275 118 321 Night Phone: Same

Mobile: " Email: ✓

Do you wish to present your comments to Council in person at a hearing? Yes ☐ No ☒

Please note that your submission (including any personal information supplied) will be made available to Councillors and the public. Submissions Close on 12 April 2019 at 5pm.

Submission Questions

1. Tell us what you think about the proposal that Council gives a \$250,000.00 suspensory loan to Water Holdings CHB Ltd to keep the prospect of water storage alive in Central Hawke's Bay.

No! Council must not use rate payers money for 'start up' loans for any business! - especially a suspensory loan!

3. Do you support the establishment of the Disaster Relief Fund Trust?

2. Do you agree with the changes to the Revenue & Financing Policy?

4. Do you have any feedback on the Draft Environmental Strategy?

Any other suggestions?

Need more room?

You can attach extra pages but please make sure they include your name and contact information.

Submission Form

Your Details

Submitters Name: Neil Bayliss,
 Postal Address: RD1 111 Maharaheke Rd. Waipukurau 4281
 Day Phone: 8588781 Night Phone: 8588781
 Mobile: _____ Email: baymon@xtra.co.nz

Do you wish to present your comments to Council in person at a hearing? Yes ☐ No ☒

Please note that your submission (including any personal information supplied) will be made available to Councillors and the public. Submissions Close on 12 April 2019 at 5pm.

Submission Questions

1. Tell us what you think about the proposal that Council gives a \$250,000.00 suspensory loan to Water Holdings CHB Ltd to keep the prospect of water storage alive in Central Hawke's Bay.

While water storage and availability are vital the involvement of both rural & urban rate payers in funding is not wise. The access to Govt. funding for further exploration is a better way to go.

3. Do you support the establishment of the Disaster Relief Fund Trust?

Yes.

2. Do you agree with the changes to the Revenue & Financing Policy?

Is such change necessary or desirable?

4. Do you have any feedback on the Draft Environmental Strategy?

Any other suggestions?

92

Submission Form

ENTERED
12/4/19

You are able to comment on anything included in the Consultation Document or provide any other feedback.

Need more room?

You can attach extra pages but please make sure they include your name and contact information.

Your Details

Submitters Name: Rachel Hornblow

Postal Address: Te Kimbriick St.

Day Phone: 06 8577096 Night Phone:

Mobile: Email:

Do you wish to present your comments to Council in person at a hearing? Yes ☐ No ☒

Please note that your submission (including any personal information supplied) will be made available to Councillors and the public. Submissions Close on 12 April 2019 at 5pm.

Submission Questions

1. Tell us what you think about the proposal that Council gives a \$250,000.00 suspensory loan to Water Holdings CHB Ltd to keep the prospect of water storage alive in Central Hawke's Bay.

I am pleased more thought and science being brought to what seems a necessary adjacet as facing climate change.

3. Do you support the establishment of the Disaster Relief Fund Trust?

Yes, most, vital fund.

2. Do you agree with the changes to the Revenue & Financing Policy?

Beyond my scope of understanding

4. Do you have any feedback on the Draft Environmental Strategy?

No except I treasure the Libraries and the maintenance of infrastructure, roads, parks in my environment of Waipawa + CHB.

Any other suggestions?

Research needed on how to manage the reliance on Lawn + Reserve cases. Mowing grass must cost so much plus "emissions" issue.

93

Me 1/12/19

You are able to comment on anything included in the Consultation Document or provide any other feedback.

Need more room?

You can attach extra pages but please make sure they include your name and contact information.

Submission Form

Your Details

Submitters Name: Rebecca Baker

Postal Address:

Day Phone: Night Phone:

Mobile: Email: beck_kupa@hotmail.com

Do you wish to present your comments to Council in person at a hearing? Yes ☒ No ☐

Please note that your submission (including any personal information supplied) will be made available to Councillors and the public. Submissions Close on 12 April 2019 at 5pm.

Submission Questions

1. Tell us what you think about the proposal that Council gives a \$250,000.00 suspensory loan to Water Holdings CHB Ltd to keep the prospect of water storage alive in Central Hawke's Bay.

3. Do you support the establishment of the Disaster Relief Fund Trust?

2. Do you agree with the changes to the Revenue & Financing Policy?

4. Do you have any feedback on the Draft Environmental Strategy?

Any other suggestions?

- Rochfort & Lawrence st footpaths. + Higginson.
- Streetlights in Otane. Current lack = unsafe.
- lots of theft.

94

You are able to comment on anything included in the Consultation Document or provide any other feedback.

Need more room?

You can attach extra pages but please make sure they include your name and contact information.

Submission Form

ENTERED
Mel 12/4

Your Details

Submitters Name: Vera Smith

Postal Address: 2A Russell St. Otane

Day Phone: 8568159- Night Phone: 8568159

Mobile: Email: veroorange@gmail.com

Do you wish to present your comments to Council in person at a hearing? Yes ☐ No ☒

Please note that your submission (including any personal information supplied) will be made available to Councillors and the public. Submissions Close on 12 April 2019 at 5pm.

Submission Questions

1. Tell us what you think about the proposal that Council gives a \$250,000.00 suspensory loan to Water Holdings CHB Ltd to keep the prospect of water storage alive in Central Hawke's Bay.

yes

3. Do you support the establishment of the Disaster Relief Fund Trust?

yes

2. Do you agree with the changes to the Revenue & Financing Policy?

yes

4. Do you have any feedback on the Draft Environmental Strategy?

Go ahead with this Move trees planting especially Near the Rivers

Any other suggestions?

95

You are able to comment on anything included in the Consultation Document or provide any other feedback.

Need more room?

You can attach extra pages but please make sure they include your name and contact information.

Submission Form

ENTERED
12/4 Ana

Your Details

Submitters Name: Hugh Ritchie
 Postal Address: 339 Drumped Rd. R01 OTANC
 Day Phone: 027 4441224 Night Phone: 0685 68 279
 Mobile: 027 4441224 Email: hugh@drumpeelfarms.co.nz

Do you wish to present your comments to Council in person at a hearing? Yes ☒ No ☐

Please note that your submission (including any personal information supplied) will be made available to Councillors and the public. Submissions Close on 12 April 2019 at 5pm.

Submission Questions

1. Tell us what you think about the proposal that Council gives a \$250,000.00 suspensory loan to Water Holdings CHB Ltd to keep the prospect of water storage alive in Central Hawke's Bay.

Yes I do support this proposal as it will allow CHB to have an informed conversation around long term water storage options

3. Do you support the establishment of the Disaster Relief Fund Trust?

Yes it would encourage talk to the relief fund in Hastings for HB Federated Farmers or deo involved would be smaller to not duplicate costs in admin etc.

2. Do you agree with the changes to the Revenue & Financing Policy?

In light of valuation changes I would support the change.

4. Do you have any feedback on the Draft Environmental Strategy?

Any other suggestions?



46

Submission Form

ENTERED
mel 12/4

You are able to comment on anything included in the Consultation Document or provide any other feedback.

Need more room?

You can attach extra pages but please make sure they include your name and contact information.

Your Details

Submitters Name: R. Pickering
 Postal Address: 53 Montell Street Tikokino
 Day Phone: 06 8565712 Night Phone: same
 Mobile: - Email: -

Do you wish to present your comments to Council in person at a hearing? Yes ☐ No ☒

Please note that your submission (including any personal information supplied) will be made available to Councillors and the public. Submissions Close on 12 April 2019 at 5pm.

Submission Questions

1. Tell us what you think about the proposal that Council gives a \$250,000.00 suspensory loan to Water Holdings CHB Ltd to keep the prospect of water storage alive in Central Hawke's Bay.

Okay With this
as long as rates don't increase

3. Do you support the establishment of the Disaster Relief Fund Trust?

Yes good idea

2. Do you agree with the changes to the Revenue & Financing Policy?

Yes

4. Do you have any feedback on the Draft Environmental Strategy?

Looks Good

Any other suggestions?

#97

You are able to comment on anything included in the Consultation Document or provide any other feedback.

Need more room?

You can attach extra pages but please make sure they include your name and contact information.

Submission Form

Your Details

Submitters Name: L. Guy. R. Bell
Postal Address: Mirokhebe 1306 SH2 RD1 Otane
Day Phone: 0274 463 682 Night Phone: 0685 753 399
Mobile: 0685 753 399 Email: ngahere@shirishot.co.nz

Do you wish to present your comments to Council in person at a hearing? Yes ☐ No ☐

Please note that your submission (including any personal information supplied) will be made available to Councillors and the public. Submissions Close on 12 April 2019 at 5pm.

Submission Questions

1. Tell us what you think about the proposal that Council gives a \$250,000.00 suspensory loan to Water Holdings CHB Ltd to keep the prospect of water storage alive in Central Hawke's Bay.

Simply with more people coming to live in CHB, there is more water required. The same for land use production more water is required. This I very much support as a scheme.

2. Do you agree with the changes to the Revenue & Financing Policy?

So long as it is an extra cost of income and can be identified so that can be understood

3. Do you support the establishment of the Disaster Relief Fund Trust?

Basically a good idea for times of need such as disasters that can and will happen

4. Do you have any feedback on the Draft Environmental Strategy?

managing the environment waterways, conserving water recycling and coping with climate change are all top priorities and need a focused cost effective system of management to ensure good governance.

Any other suggestions?

The proposed Water Holdings CHB Ltd water storage proposal gets my total support.

Need more room?

You can attach extra pages but please make sure they include your name and contact information.

Submission Form

Your Details

Submitters Name: Denise Coy

Postal Address: 21 St Clair St.

Day Phone: 8558285 Night Phone: 8558285

Mobile: 027 Email: tylertaylor1@hotmail.com

Do you wish to present your comments to Council in person at a hearing? Yes ☐ No ☒

Please note that your submission (including any personal information supplied) will be made available to Councillors and the public. Submissions Close on 12 April 2019 at 5pm.

Submission Questions

1. Tell us what you think about the proposal that Council gives a \$250,000.00 suspensory loan to Water Holdings CHB Ltd to keep the prospect of water storage alive in Central Hawke's Bay.

Sounds good needs to be continually updated.

3. Do you support the establishment of the Disaster Relief Fund Trust?

Yes.

2. Do you agree with the changes to the Revenue & Financing Policy?

Seems O.K.

4. Do you have any feedback on the Draft Environmental Strategy?

No at the moment -

Any other suggestions?



CHB District Council,
P.O. Box 127
WAIPAWA, CHB, 4240.

99

402 HIVERUA RD, R.D.1,
ONGAONGA, CHB, 4278
PH 8566633
29 MARCH 2019

Kia Ora,

Re: Annual Plan Consultation

ENTERED
20/4/19



I would like to make the following submissions:

(a) Suspensory Loan

I could actually support this proposal PROVIDED WATERHOLDINGS CHB LTD used the bulk of the money to set up an INSTITUTE OF DRYLAND FARMING IN CHB WHICH PROMOTED DRYLAND FARMING PRACTICES; IT WOULD MAINLY CONCENTRATE ON WHAT PASTURE AND CROP SPECIES AND WHAT FARMING PRACTICES ARE THE MOST ^{APPROPRIATE} FOR OUR CONDITIONS; ON-FARM WATER STORAGE MIGHT BE A PART OF THE "MIX".

IT NEEDS TO BE RECOGNIZED THAT CHB HAS BEEN A REASONABLY PROSPEROUS FARMING AREA FOR MANY DECADES USING CONVENTIONAL DRYLAND FARMING PRACTICES - WITHOUT LARGE SCALE IRRIGATION.

IF THE "SYSTEM" NEEDS TWEAKING THEN AN INSTITUTE PROMOTING THE LATEST SCIENCE WOULD BE A MORE SUSTAINABLE ALTERNATIVE TO LARGE SCALE IRRIGATION -
- BUILD "RESILIENCE" RATHER THAN "UNSUSTAINABLE DEPENDENCE"

I UNDERSTAND HOWEVER THAT IN WATERHOLDINGS' APPLICATION FOR THE LOAN IT WAS WANTING TO BUILD EITHER THE ORIGINAL BIG DAM ACROSS THE MAKARORO OR A SMALLER DAM IN THE SAME PLACE. DESPITE PRESS RELEASES BY IT AND YOU THAT THIS PROPOSAL WAS NEW AND NOT A RE-HASH OF THE OLD. ~~AND MORE~~ RECENTLY WE HAVE READ "THE RUBB AS WE HAVE UNDERSTOOD IT IS UNLIKELY"

THAT TO ME, MEANS IT IS STILL A POSSIBILITY.

I AM THEREFORE OPPOSED TO YOU GIVING WATERHOLDINGS A SUSPENSORY LOAN:

(P.T.O.)

(3)

- (i) WITH CONDITIONS THAT AS I UNDERSTAND IT ARE NOT "STRICT CRITERIA" AS YOU CLAIM WHICH BASICALLY MEANS IT WILL BECOME A GIFT
- (ii) TO PAY CONSULTANTS TO GIVE IT THE ANSWERS IT WANTS; FAR TOO MUCH RATEPAYER MONEY HAS BEEN WASTED ON THE RUSS ALREADY WHEN THE "OFF-RAMP EXIT" WAS OBVIOUS TO MOST OF US MANY YEARS AND MANY \$BILLIONS EARLIER
- (iii) THAT MIGHT BENEFIT A SMALL MINORITY OF FARMERS FOR A SHORT PERIOD TO ACHIEVE AN ENVIRONMENTALLY UNSUSTAINABLE LARGE SCALE INDUSTRIAL RESERVOIR IN AN ECOLOGICALLY SENSITIVE RIVER BED
- (iv) THAT I DO NOT BELIEVE WILL HAVE "NO IMPACT ON RATES";
- THE RURAL WARD FUND WAS PRESUMABLY CREATED OUT OF RATES,
 - THE INTEREST INCOME NO DOUBT CONTRIBUTES TO COUNCIL RUNNING COSTS,
 - THE FUND WOULD BE DIMINISHED TO A SIGNIFICANT EXTENT MAKING IT LESS USEFUL FOR THE PURPOSES INTENDED,
 -

(b) ENVIRONMENTAL AND SUSTAINABILITY STRATEGY

I READ THAT YOU ARE "NOT PROPOSING TO SPEND ANY ADDITIONAL MONEY ON IMPLEMENTING THIS STRATEGY, HOWEVER WE MAY CHANGE SOME OF THE WAY WE DO THINGS"

I WOULD SUPPORT POSITIVE CHANGE AND SPENDING ADDITIONAL MONEY IF NEEDED.

(c) REVENUE AND FINANCIAL POLICY

I TAKE YOUR WORD THAT THE PROPOSAL WILL MAKE "INCREASES FARMER"

(d) DISASTER RELIEF FUND TRUST

I READ THAT "THERE IS NO RATES IMPACT FOR THE ESTABLISHMENT OF THE TRUST"

I SUPPORT THE ESTABLISHMENT OF SUCH A TRUST BUT

(3)

THAT IT MUST EVENTUALLY HAVE AN IMPACT ON RARS.

THAT IMPACT WOULD BE LESSENED IF CHB'S CONTRIBUTION WERE TO COME FROM A SOURCE LIKE AN IMPACT RURAL LAND FUND

(e) INSTITUTE OF DOWLAND FARMING

IF WATERHOLDING IS NOT INTERESTED IN SETTING UP SUCH AN INSTITUTE, MAY I SUGGEST YOUR COUNCIL TAKE THE INITIATIVE.

THANK YOU,

KA KITE AND,

Gerard Pain
(GERARD PAIN)

P.S. AT THIS STAGE, I WOULD ALSO LIKE TO MAKE A VERBAL SUBMISSION AT THE HEARTALKS.

Leigh Collecutt

From: Genevieve Bennett <Genevieve.Bennett@hbrc.govt.nz>
Sent: Friday, 12 April 2019 2:10 PM
To: Thrive
Subject: Submission from BiodiversityHB
Attachments: BioHB submission to CHBDC Annual Plan April2019.docx

Categories: Red category

Kia ora Mayor Walker, Councillors and Central Hawke's Bay District Council Staff

Please find attached Biodiversity Hawke's Bay's submission to the upcoming 2019/2020 Annual Plan.

We would welcome the opportunity to speak to this submission.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Regards, Genevieve

Genevieve Bennett

Project Manager Biodiversity Hawke's Bay

027 231 9367



Become a Biodiversity Guardian of Hawke's Bay,
Join online today www.biodiversityhb.org

To: Mayor Walker,
Councillors
Central Hawke's Bay District Council Staff

From: Charles Daugherty, Chair
Hawke's Bay Biodiversity Foundation

Date: 11 March 2019

Subject: Submission on the Central Hawke' Bay's 2019/20 Annual Plan with specific reference to Environmental and Sustainability Strategy Statement of Proposal.

Dear Mayor Walker, Councillors and Staff

On behalf of Biodiversity Hawke's Bay we would like to acknowledge the work by Central Hawke's Bay District Council in developing the Environmental and Sustainability Strategy Statement of Proposal and thank the Council for your support of Biodiversity Hawke's Bay.

The Council's Project Thrive vision for "A proud and prosperous district with strong communities, and connected citizens, who respect and celebrate nature" complements the Foundation's vision: "Working together, Hawke's Bay's biodiversity is enhanced, healthy and functioning". We look forward to working with the Central Hawkes Bay community to enhance and celebrate the district's indigenous biodiversity.

We would like to provide some feedback on Central Hawke' Bay's Consultation Document for its 2019/20 Annual Plan with specific reference to the Environmental and Sustainability Strategy Statement of Proposal. First, however, we would like to update Councillors of the progress of Biodiversity Hawke's Bay (BiodiversityHB) in the past year.

Progress in 2018:

Our goals for 2018 centred on establishing Biodiversity Hawke's Bay as an active, visible initiative, realising early successes and ensuring we are well positioned to rapidly gain momentum toward our goals in 2019. Notable achievements in during the past year include:

- Launch of the BiodiversityHB initiative in May 2018, led by the HB Biodiversity Guardians. Over 200 people attended the event in Havelock North, and the Keynote Speaker was Professor Bruce Clarkson of the University of Waikato, a national leader in urban ecological restoration. We were pleased to see Mayor Walker, Monique Davidson and many Central Hawke's Bay locals at the event with many of them signing up to become Biodiversity Guardians that night.
- Roll out of a Corporate Sponsorship model at a launch at the Mission Estate in October. Attended by over 100 people, the Keynote Speakers included Minister of Conservation Eugenie Sage; Stuart Ainslie (CEO of Hawke's Bay Airport); and Paul Atkins (CEO of Zealandia in Wellington). All spoke of the many benefits of businesses supporting biodiversity restoration. Again, this event was well attended by Central Hawke's Bay locals and it was lovely to have Monique there with us.
- Welcoming three exceptional new trustees to the Foundation Board, including Dr Christine Cheyne (expert in sustainability at the local government level; local transport; former Conservation Board

member); Mr Mark Ericksen (Hawke's Bay orchardist); and Dr James Buwalda (Chair, NZ Biological Heritage National Science Challenge; Co-Chair of the Biosecurity 2015 Steering Group). All three bring special and diverse dimensions to the Board.

- Hosting two Forum Events for public engagement - the first at Aramoana in October 2018, and the second at the Kaweka Lakes in early February 2019. Attendance was excellent and enthusiasm high at both. Our October event, "Bio Buzz" was the final event of the Central Hawke's Bay Spring Fling. We thank Mayor Walker for opening this event and are grateful for the support we received from the Spring Fling team - especially in promoting this event in print media, social media and on the radio.
- Commitments of tangible support from four of our 18 Accountable Partners. These have launched our Endowment Fund and also support operational activities such as the Forum, as well as underwriting a Community Biodiversity Fund, to be announced shortly and providing small grants to four local environmental groups.
- Establishment of formal partnerships with three local businesses. The largest of these, with Hawke's Bay Airport, provides contributions to our Endowment, operating costs for the coming year, and public engagement costs.
- Completion of a prioritisation project for threatened ecological communities in Hawke's Bay. This will provide guidance for us in identifying projects for special support in the future.

We are now well positioned to advance our work in 2019.

Comments on Central Hawke' Bay's 2019/20 Annual Plan with specific reference to Environmental and Sustainability Strategy Statement of Proposal:

We commend the Council for having an Environmental and Sustainability Strategy that has been developed in consultation with community. We thank you for the opportunity to provide feedback in the early stages of this plans development. Our Project Manager, Genevieve Bennett, attended one of the workshops facilitated by Tracey Hunt and later Tracey spent some time with Guardian Management Committee member and Terrestrial Ecologist, Keiko Hashiba; Biodiversity Guardian Rep, Christine Cheyne and Genevieve talking through the 'Zonation' prioritisation exercise which has been undertaken to deliver Biodiversity Action Plan Action One. We appreciate Tracey's commitment to better understanding the work we are doing.

Connecting our people and place is a great theme to help deliver the Thrive vision and obviously the participation of CHBDC with organisations and individuals to help CHBDC become successful environmental guardians is something we want to continue to be a part of. The urgency of addressing biodiversity loss is now very widely acknowledged and councils may have additional responsibilities for protecting indigenous biodiversity once a National Policy Statement on Indigenous Biodiversity is published. A key next step is to foster broad community awareness of the district's unique biodiversity. Events such as the Biodiversity Forums organised by BiodiversityHB contribute to building community awareness. We are keen to work with CHBDC to organise future events. We see your Council's strategy as providing a very positive model for other districts in the region and how councils can work with Biodiversity Hawked Bay and implement actions that contribute to achieving the goals of the Biodiversity Strategy and we commend CHBDC for its explicit commitments to implementing actions in the Action Plan.

We thank you for your continued support and resource for the delivery of the Biodiversity Strategy and Action Plan.

Request for Tangible Support in 2019:

A central goal for the Foundation in 2019 is to grow our partnership with each of our 18 Accountable Partners. These are organisations that committed to tangible support for the Biodiversity Strategy and achievement of its goals.

We aim to work with the Central Hawke's Bay District Council, one of our Accountable Partners, for the benefit of all people in the District. A nature-rich, sustainable future will be critical for the District's resilience and prosperity.

We encourage all our Accountable Partners to

1. **Make an annual contribution to the Biodiversity Endowment.** The goals of the Foundation is to establish a fund of \$10 million. Once that target is achieved, the proceeds from the fund will support, in perpetuity, activities that help restore and secure the future for biodiversity in Hawke's Bay. The Endowment will not replace the 'business as usual' activities of local government in relation to biodiversity, but will bring "additionality" to work of councils and other statutory agencies to ensure maximum benefit to biodiversity. The Endowment will ensure that the benefits of sustainable biodiversity support a better life for all citizens of the region.

2. **Contribute annually to operating costs of Biodiversity Hawke's Bay.** BiodiversityHB aims to engage in an ongoing range of activities to benefit biodiversity and people now, but this requires staff for administration, communication, developing business and community partnerships, fund raising, and other activities. These staff are critical to achieving the goals of the Strategy.

3. **Collaborate on biodiversity projects:** We are keen to partner with councils to undertake a range of specific projects in each District. It is possible for BiodiversityHB, as a registered charity, to apply for funding that is not available to councils. We seek to secure funds from outside the region, from the corporate sector, philanthropic organisations and central government that can help advance CHBDC plans and initiatives. We have recently put two bids forward to national funds which would directly benefit projects on the ground in Central Hawke's Bay – one to support the restoration of two wetlands identified through the Zonation Prioritisation work and one for a series of native wildlife surveys in CHB.

We are keen to establish a **Regional Ecological Hub**. On advice from the Minister of Conservation we submitted an application for major funding from the Department of Conservation (DoC) for additional funds that would support BiodiversityHB directly, in the form of staff and administrative funding. Support from CHBDC and the other district councils will be important for future conversations around this.

B. Alignment with Regional Environmental Management: One component of the Strategy commits to working with other agencies in the Bay, linking environmental projects for larger outcomes. While CHBDC already engages regionally there is a further opportunity to be involved in the Statutory Agencies Working Group established as one of the actions in the Biodiversity Strategy Action Plan 2017-2020.

We welcome the opportunity to bring our work to your attention, and hope that you share our sense of urgency in working collaboratively with Biodiversity Hawkes Bay. We would welcome the opportunity to speak to this submission.


Charles Daugherty

Charles Daugherty ONZM, FRSNZ

Chair

for Hawke's Bay Biodiversity Foundation

From: Trevor Le-Lievre <trevor.lielievre@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, 12 April 2019 3:00 PM
To: Thrive
Subject: Re: Annual Plan submission attached
Attachments: Submission - CHBDC Annual Plan (2019-2020).pdf
Categories: Red category

Please find **attached** a copy of my submission on the 2019-2020 Annual Plan. Could you please acknowledge receipt of the same? I would like to speak to my submission.

My Contact details are:

Trevor Le Lievre

Please do not publish my contact details.

Thank you

Trevor Le Lievre

SUBMISSION

Central Hawkes Bay District Council Annual Plan 2019-2020

12 April 2019

Dr Trevor Le Lievre

My submission covers two aspects of Council's Annual Plan, namely the proposal to give a suspensory loan to Water Holdings CHB, and the Draft Environmental Strategy. In addition, it discusses the continued deferral of upgrades to the towns' sewage treatment plants.

1) Suspensory loan to Water Holdings CHB

I strongly oppose this proposal. My reasons for this are summarised below:

- This is a **'loan' in name only**. The conditions precedent for repayment indicate that there is no conceivable scenario under which the loan will ever be repaid. Water Holdings CHB's only asset is the Intellectual Property (IP) it holds, which the company paid \$100,000 for. This transaction can only honestly be defined as a gift;
- Water Holdings CHB is a **private company**. Irrespective of their business plan (not declared) or Council's stated objective to investigate long term water storage solutions, Council has no mandate to be handing ratepayer money to any private company. In the alternative, if Council are able to show they do, then they should set up a contestable fund available to all businesses who can lay claim to community outcomes;
- There is **no transparency** about how Water Holdings CHB intend to use the Intellectual Property they hold to achieve 'water storage solutions'. The IP was purchased in July 2018 and the company have since employed an engineer to review its content and to identify its potential. It is inconceivable that they have no idea about what options are available to commercialise their asset. Since the consents and plans are for a large-scale dam on the Makaroro river, this is the most likely outcome; however, ratepayers have instead been misinformed by Water Holdings CHB that this is not about the Ruataniwha Water Storage Scheme (RWSS) revisited. If not, then what?
- Neither Council nor Water Holdings CHB have been upfront with the public, as they have not declared that the company has **already employed an engineer to assess the IP**, and what the initial findings are. Instead, the proposal is framed to look like the IP is sitting there latent, and now requires funding to realise its potential. Council need to be fully transparent and declare this to ratepayers immediately, and before proceeding any further with this proposal;
- This absence of declared specific outcomes has enabled Council to **promote this gift as an investment** that will "produce workable options to achieve water security for intergenerational economic, social and environmental resilience of Central Hawkes Bay". With respect to the author, such statements are no more than vacuous nonsense. An investment comes with a robust risk analysis, business case, and clearly identified and achievable returns;
- The chairperson of Water Holdings CHB has stated publically that the Company's intended outcomes include provision of water for businesses, the towns and the environment. Such statements are either misguided or mischievous.

- The main towns (excluding Onga Onga and Tikokino who have an over-allocation from the aquifer, for intensive irrigation, problem) **do not have a water supply issue**, since supply comes from long-term consents from river bores, the only constraint being infrastructure capacity, namely pumps, reservoirs and reticulation. Alternatively, if there is an issue with the water supply for the towns then Council need to clearly and honestly articulate this.
- Reference to the environment apparently refers to the claimed benefit of flushing flows to the Tuki Tuki River. Both Council and Water Holdings CHB will be well aware from the publically available information concerning the now-defunct Ruataniwha dam that there is **no science which proves that flushing flows work**, and that their purported benefits were based on modelling alone. This is just as well, since if they did work it would be our precious ocean that would become the repository for the unwanted by-products of unsustainable intensive farming.
- The source of the \$250,000 gift to Water Holdings CHB is the two rural (Aramoana and Ruahine) ward funds. While Council correctly state that this source of funding means that *rates* will not be affected, they omit to explain the **impact on rate-payers within these wards**, namely the depletion of the funds' \$811,000 principal by \$250,000, or 30%, and the loss of \$9,000 p.a. interest. It has been stated publically by a promoter of the proposal that these funds have not been used since their establishment in the 1980's. Is this the case, or simply misinformation? Council need to clearly explain what use the funds have been put to since their initiation and, if they have not been used for their intended purpose (to invest interest only, in Council-owned assets), then explain why not? There are innumerable Council-owned assets in these wards that would benefit from this money.

Ruataniwha re-visited

Council introduce this proposal with the underpinning statement that "water storage in some form is critical for the future of Central Hawkes Bay", and then go on to emphasise the need to achieve scale, without spelling out the meaning of these statements? Water storage + scale = large dam. This proposal is clearly **intended to kick-start the failed Ruataniwha dam** by providing ratepayer money to a private company, comprising a handful of local farmers and a business owner, who have no business case and are unable to clearly articulate their goals.

Only a dam the scale of Ruataniwha could possibly pay its way *if* the cost of water enabled enough farmers to sign contracts, which the original business model did not. To achieve the requisite economies of scale would require the Ruahine Forest Park (22 ha) land swap to proceed, since **any dam not able to flood that land would only achieve around 20% of Ruataniwha's capacity and lose any economies of scale**, and in consequence have no viable business case. The Supreme Court in July 2017 ruled against the land swap. Do Water Holdings CHB believe that they can run a public campaign, possibly under the guise of "stakeholder consultation", that would force a law change? If so, they are out of touch with New Zealand public opinion, and any such campaign would certainly become national.

Farmers in Central Hawkes Bay do require water storage and many, to their credit, have already invested in on-farm storage. There are certainly ways in which the **three tiers of government can work collaboratively to support on-farm storage**, and I would support Council in exploring such options.

I do not support a large-scale dam and the intensive irrigation that would underpin it, and which would leach more toxins into our aquifer, rivers and eventually the ocean. Neither is a large-scale dam the answer for farmers on those parts of the Ruataniwha Plains unsuitable for on-farm water storage due to a geomorphic structure with no large natural gullies and depressions suitable for dam construction, and with shingle and porous soils. The answer there is **more sustainable farming practices that work within the bounds of our natural environment**, or in extreme cases retiring land. Similarly, the answer to global warming is not bulk water storage, farming intensification, the felling of more trees to make way for irrigators, and greater stock numbers and methane emissions. Clearly the answer is the opposite – to believe otherwise betrays a short-term profit-driven and environmentally destructive viewpoint.

Hawkes Bay ratepayers have already lost \$20 million dollars, forfeited by our Regional Council, last time the clarion call “water for all” rang out. Ratepayers work too hard to meet their increasing rate demands, for our local Council to now set off down this same road and misappropriate more of our income. The lesson from Ruataniwha is that once this road is embarked upon, the need for ratepayer funding to continue the journey becomes insatiable. The next likely request for funding will come in 2020 when the consents held by Water Holdings CHB require renewing, at a cost of \$100,000. Not one cent more of ratepayers’ money in pursuit of this pipe dream.

2) Draft Environmental Strategy

Council’s foresight in proposing this Strategy is commendable. The Statement of Proposal is very much an aspirational document, and Council have set some challenging goals to meet over the next year. I do support them in these endeavours, and look forward to evaluating progress in 12 months’ time.

However, the proposal to gift \$250,000 to Water Holdings CHB is incompatible with 3 of the Strategy’s 4 priorities, namely:

- Managing our impact on waterways;
- Conserving water; and
- Managing for climate change.

The reasons for this are canvassed in the above section of this submission. Unfortunately, this anomaly brings into question either Council’s genuine intent, or their understanding about how these stated priorities might realistically be met. For the Environmental Strategy to have the **ratepayer buy-in that will be crucial for its success, then Council need to abandon the Water Holdings CHB proposal.**

Concerning priority number 2 “conserving water”, I reiterate my plea to Council, made in my submission on the 2018-2028 Long Term Plan, that **water metres be installed for ratepayers on town supply**. Unfortunately, the track record of water users voluntarily

practicing water conservation is not great, and therefore insentivisation is needed. Having lived in the dry continent of Australia for many years, I consider that water metres work very well in this regard. As with the currently limited use of water metres in the district, the system would allow a capped water amount (based on number of persons per-household), at no charge, with a rising-scale charge applying thereafter.

3) Disaster Relief Fund Trust

There is too little information provided about this proposal to make informed comment, and therefore **I cannot support it**. If such a Trust were to proceed, clear and transparent criteria for the appointment of trustees would need to be established, and trustees should be drawn from, and therefore be able to represent, every stratum of our community.

4) Wastewater Treatment Plants

The Environmental and Sustainability Strategy identifies as a goal “upgrading wastewater treatment plants to meet resource consent conditions”; however, does not touch on options to achieve this or, more importantly, how this might be funded.

I believe that any attempt to upgrade the existing plants would be throwing good money after bad. The most sustainable solution is the **Sequencing Batch Reactor (SBR) technology**, which I recommended in my submission on Council’s 2016-2017 Annual Plan, because of this system’s flexibility to adjust to meet both changing influent and effluent requirements, and especially to achieve removal of nutrients from effluent. **To achieve economies of scale, investigation into installing a larger single SBR system situated in Waipawa** should be undertaken. Waipawa is equidistant from Otane and Waipukurau, so this option might be cost-effective so long as gradient for pumping was not an issue. For comparison, Taupo District Council recently pumped Waitahanui sewage into the city instead of upgrading Waitahanui’s treatment plant, at a roughly equivalent distance of 6 km. To achieve environmental sustainability the discharge of even well-treated effluent into our rivers is not an option, and so suitable land will also need to be purchased for disposal of treated effluent, with additional pumping required.

However, the SBR system alone would cost something in the order of \$20 million, with the land and supporting infrastructure costing several million more. In 2017 I recommended that Council raise a loan to be paid for by rates amortised over several years; however, that option has been superseded by the rates increases approved in the 2018-2028 Long Term Plan, which I supported. **CHB ratepayers cannot afford any additional rate rises for many years to come** to pay for a sufficiently robust system.

Therefore, a sustainable long-term solution to the towns’ waste water woes requires collaboration between the 3 tiers of government, who indeed have a joint responsibility to ensure the health of our waterways. I therefore return to the recommendation made in my 2018-2028 Long Term Plan submission that **Council convene a Committee consisting of Councillors, technical experts, local ratepayers, and iwi for the purpose of developing plans and a funding proposal to present to regional and central government**. There may also be scope to apply to the Regional Economic Development Fund which, however, has a

shrinking window of opportunity. Time is of the essence, as the quality of our local rivers and the eco-systems they nourish is rapidly deteriorating.

Should this strategy be successful in providing a long-term sustainable solution for our towns' wastewater, Council and ratepayers could indeed proudly proclaim achievement of the goal contained in the Environmental and Sustainability Strategy to "demonstrate leadership in environmental and sustainability matters locally and on the national stage".

Acknowledgement

Thank you for the opportunity to submit on the Council's 2019-2020 Annual Plan. A crucial component of a healthy democracy is the ability for the public to be heard, and for that collective voice to be afforded due consideration. In this regard, and in general matters of transparency and long-term vision (the Water Holdings CHB proposal notwithstanding) our current Councillors are to be commended.

From: Wufoo <no-reply@wufoo.com>
Sent: Friday, 12 April 2019 3:59 PM
To: Thrive
Subject: Annual Plan 2019/20 Consultation - Feedback [#102]

Submitters Name Larry Dallimore

Postal Address



P O Box 12 085 Ahuriri
Napier 4144
New Zealand

Day Phone Number 06 835 5532

Mobile Phone Number 021 136 9932

Do you wish to present your comments to council in person at a hearing? Yes

Please note that your submission (including any personal information supplied) will be made available to Councillors and the public.
Submissions Close on 12 April 2019 at 5pm

See attached Submission

1. Tell us what you think about the proposal that Council give a \$250,000 suspensory loan to Water Holding CHB to keep the prospect of water storage alive in Central Hawke's Bay.

2. Do you agree with the changes to the Revenue & Financing Policy?

See attached Submission

Attach a File



[20190412_submission_to_central_hb_district_council.pdf](#)

708.58 KB • PDF

Submission to Central HB District Council

Closes at 5pm on 12th April 2019

Council Issue: Provision of a Suspensory Loan to Water Holdings Ltd

Submitter: Larry Dallimore

Postal address: P O Box 12 085, Ahuriri, Napier

Property address: 44 Abbotsford Road, Waipawa, Central HB.

Contact Details: Tel: 06 835 5532 - Mob: 021 136 9932

Email: larryd@xtra.co.nz

Submission Hearing: I wish to present my submission to Council at a Hearing.

My position: I support water storage schemes but oppose any project that has an ongoing detrimental effect on the environment.

I oppose any proposed dam that will impede natural flows of greywacke gravel entering the Tukituki River that will eventually make a significant contribution to the degradation of HB gravel beaches from Clive to Tangoio.

I oppose any structure that reduces flood flows which reduce the natural movement of gravels and riverbed gradients for the lower reaches of the Tukituki River.

Any reduction to volumes of river gravel reaching the Tukituki River mouth at Haumoana will reduce vital beach replenishment for all sand and gravel beaches north to Tangoio.

I accept research from the HB Regional Council that a dam on the Makaroro River will impede transport of sediment from 42% of the total erodible catchment area for the Tukituki River. *(see evidence attached)*

I believe during severe seasonal storm events the natural movement of this significant resource of gravel should not be reduced or impeded. Any interruption to the supply of gravel and manipulation of the flood flows will exacerbate or accelerate coastal erosion.

The following information is from the 'intellectual property' purchased by Water Holdings.

1. Environmental Effects Report - May 2013 notes "sedimentation in the Reservoir at 260,000 m³ per year is a conservative estimate" for a dam on the Makaroro River (*see attached*).
2. The average 260,000 m³ of sediment currently passing the dam site, as proposed by HB Regional Council, will be blocked and fill the reservoir each year.
3. The HBRC suggestion that the proposed dam will reduce gravel input to the coast by 1,688m³ per year lacks credibility. Eventhough this calculation includes an exceptional period of over extraction and includes the extraordinary period without significant floods, it appears this grossly unrealistic estimate was to minimise the effect of the dam (*see attached*). Trucking 3,400 m³ of river shingle from the lower reaches to counter this loss of gravel to the coast was ricolous.
4. The dam will reduce flood flows necessary to transport sand and stone to the coast. Sedimentation Assessment Report - May 2013 quote: "mean annual flood flows will reduce by 47%" (*see attached*).
5. HB's gravel beaches are totally dependent on natural uninterrupted supplies of sediment. Gravel from the Tukituki River is the sole source of replenishment for beaches between Clive and Tangoio (*see attached*).
6. Professor Paul Komar, commissioned by the HBRC, presented a clear warning in his report. Quote "the extraction (*or reduction*) of gravel from the Tukituki River is having negative consequences to HB's gravel beaches which is causing shoreline erosion and the inundation of low lying backshore properties"(*see report extract*)

I seek the following:

The CHB District Council should not promote, assist or finance any project that substantially reduces or limits floodwater flows in the Tukituki River which alter the movement of sediment to the Hawke's Bay gravel coast. Any dam or structure that impedes natural flows of sand and gravel reduces supplies of beach replenishment will exacerbate existing erosion at beaches between Clive and Tangoio.

L W Dallimore



12th April 2019

#103
1 of 4

Leigh Collecutt

From: GRENVILLE CHRISTIE <grenchristie@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, 12 April 2019 3:01 PM
To: Thrive
Subject: submission CHBDC 2019-2020 Annual Plan
Attachments: Gren SUBMISSION TO THE CHBDC ANNUAL PLAN 2019.docx

Categories: Red category

Please acknowledge receipt of this email
Gren Christie

SUBMISSION TO THE CHBDC ANNUAL PLAN 2019/20

Grenville Christie

341 Racecourse Rd RD2 Waipukurau 4282. Ph 068586587. E:

grenchristie@gmail.com

I wish to speak to my Submission.

Let me state that I understand the importance of reliability of water for growers and the difficult position some surface water irrigators find themselves in following the 2013 BOI ruling on minimum flows and the excessive water takes in the hands of others.

However the Council's statement that some form of water storage I think is necessary is premature.

Q1 – Opposed to Proposal to advance a suspensory to Water Holdings CHB.[\$250,000]

1 This is best seen as a gift to a private company.

2 This money will come out of someone's rates if that money is required for some other purpose in the future. Eg road washout due to extreme weather.

3 Millions have already been spent on investigating water storage in CHB. All that needs to be known is known.

4 At most all the ratepayers will get is the Intellectual Property rights to the RWSS which they could have got from the Regional Council without much cost had not Water Holdings CHB stepped in first.

WHCHB if they are gifted this money will simply get their IP money back plus much more to the cost of the ratepayer.

THE NEED FOR WATER STORAGE

1 CHB towns have infrastructure problems, not water shortage problems.

2 On the Ruataniwha plains there is enough water for all if used in the right fashion.

The current situation is that a few use the bulk of available water to irrigate stony ground, without shelter belts to grow grass for too many cows who then create pollution problems.

That situation could change for the better with farm nutrient runoff coming under the spotlight in 2020.

Many farms in the Tukituki catchment will then need a resource consent to farm. Restrictions on excessive farm pollution will drive land use change and in turn possibly reduce water demand on those farms, freeing it up for better purposes.

A Central Government water tax would do the same thing.

At present there is about 7000ha under intensive farming. The water needed for this, if otherwise used, could possibly irrigate 70,000ha of orchards.

3 The alternative to letting this play out is to go back to the idea of water storage. With Dams there are problems.

MONEY

Small dams = lower capital cost, high cost per cubic metre for water.

Big dams = very high capital cost, lower cost per cubic metre plus the need of big water users to take the extra water.

The large Ruataniwha dam was advertising a cost of 25 cent a cubic metre.

However this was to be subsidised by ratepayers at the cost of around \$4m a yr for up to the next 20yrs.

The real cost at the time was put at between 40 to 50 cents.

Given that the cost of that project continued to rise steeply today's price would be much higher.

ENVIRONMENTAL

Nationally environmental laws are only going to get tougher making it more difficult to mess with rivers and limit certain farming practise.

Also flushing flows are now believed not to work as some hoped.

PRACTICAL CONSIDERATIONS

Dams only drought proof if you only use the stored water in a drought, otherwise there is no extra water when the drought happens.

The build up of rock and shingle behind a dam has to be removed at great expense for the life of the dam. Who's going to do that for 70 to 100yrs, where is the rock and shingle going to go and who's going to pay for it and what will mitigate the shortfall of the shingle at the coast?

A dam will have to be decommissioned one day and whose shoulders should that fall on ?

Building a large dam say of 45 to 90m cubic metres is taking a sledge hammer to smash a walnut when the short fall of irrigation water is only 2.3 m.

IN CONCLUSION

We need bigger bangs for the drop than we are getting now.

If our current water take was used in an environmentally and sustainable way, if we had a sensible water budget, then and only then should we be looking at expensive water storage, and only then if the need could be justified in every way.

As little of the above is currently happening, I suggest there is no justification for community money to be spent on advancing water storage.

I believe that this present Council's suggestion is driven more by ideology than practical reasoning.

There will be many who think the opposite.

I say to them put your money where your mouth is, not mine.

I would also say here that the very loud message from the rural community in the last round of submissions was "user pays".

Let that be applied here.

Grant them their wish.

I would also suggest that the Council as an alternative to giving away \$250,000 to investigate water storage they instead survey the potential irrigators (at little cost) and ask how much would they be prepared to pay for water.
If it's below 50cents a cubic metre go to plan B

Leigh Collecutt

From: Wufoo <no-reply@wufoo.com>
Sent: Friday, 12 April 2019 4:07 PM
To: Thrive
Subject: Annual Plan 2019/20 Consultation - Feedback [#104]

Submitters Name Helen Walker

Postal Address



185 Stanton Road RD 4
Waipukurau 4284

Email kilgaren@actrix.co.nz

Do you wish to present your comments to council in person at a hearing? No

Please note that your submission (including any personal information supplied) will be made available to Councillors and the public. Water is our most precious commodity. We must store and use to advantage, this proposal must go ahead

Submissions Close on 12 April 2019 at 5pm

1. Tell us what you think about the proposal that Council give a \$250,000 suspensory loan to Water Holding CHB to keep the prospect of water storage alive in Central Hawke's Bay.

2. Do you agree with the changes to the Revenue & Financing Policy? yes

3. Do you support the establishment of the Disaster Relief Fund Trust? Yes

4. Do you have any feedback on the Draft Environmental Strategy? Conserving water ties in with number 1 (the water story) and we must remember that this is a joint responsibility it doesn't all fall at the farmers feet.

Any other suggestions? Lets do it

Leigh Collecutt

From: Wufoo <no-reply@wufoo.com>
Sent: Friday, 12 April 2019 4:11 PM
To: Thrive
Subject: Annual Plan 2019/20 Consultation - Feedback [#105]

Submitters Lon Anderson

Name

Postal



Address 32 Nelson Street
Waipukurau 4200
New Zealand

Day Phone 06 858 8234
Number

Mobile 027 249 5713
Phone
Number

Night 06 858 8234
Phone
Number

Email lonanderson32@gmail.com

Do you Yes
wish to
present
your
comments
to council
in person
at a
hearing?

Please note that your submission (including any personal information supplied) will be made available to Councillors and the public. Submissions Close on 12 April 2019 at 5pm

1. Tell us what you think about the proposal that Council give a \$250,000 suspensory loan to Water Holding CHB to keep the prospect of water storage alive in Central Hawke's Bay.

I support the proposal.

I have been living in CHB for 31 years working with farmers firstly as a Rural banker and latterly as the coordinator of the Rural Support Trust.

I have been privy to the productivity per hectare levels that irrigated farmers have over dry land farmers and clearly where high quality prime land is involved the gap is huge.

We continue to get long range intelligence on the east coast weather patterns, that suggests there is an increasing likelihood of prolonged summer dry weather putting further pressure on our farmers.

With water comes intensification. Can the farmers manage the nutrient and environmental aspects? Yes they can. I have been a finals judge for the Ballance Environmental awards for a number of years and quite clearly our farmers are very conscious of sustainable land and water management.

Water storage has a multitude of benefits especially to a small community, where ground takes for irrigation seem to be always under scrutiny, dam and lake storage schemes are a logical answer.

I applaud the initiative of the Water Holding CHB Group

Leigh Collecutt

From: Wufoo <no-reply@wufoo.com>
Sent: Friday, 12 April 2019 4:33 PM
To: Thrive
Subject: Annual Plan 2019/20 Consultation - Feedback [#106]

Submitters Dianna Karamaena
Name

Postal 
Address 489 Whangaehu Road RD3
Porangahau, Central Hawkes Bay 4293
New Zealand

Day Phone 06-8555443
Number

Mobile Phone 0273333523
Number

Night Phone 06-8555443
Number

Email karamaena@gmail.com

Do you wish No
to present
your
comments to
council in
person at a
hearing?

Please note No Comment
that your
submission
(including any
personal
information

supplied) will
be made
available to
Councillors
and the
public.

Submissions
Close on 12
April 2019 at
5pm

1. Tell us
what you
think about
the proposal
that Council
give a
\$250,000
suspensory
loan to Water
Holding CHB
to keep the
prospect of
water storage
alive in
Central
Hawke's Bay.

2. Do you No Comment
agree with the
changes to
the Revenue
& Financing
Policy?

3. Do you Yes
support the
establishment

of the
Disaster
Relief Fund
Trust?

4. Do you No feedback
have any
feedback on
the Draft
Environmental
Strategy?

Any other suggestions?

I would like the Central Hawkes Bay Council to install a toilet facility at Whangaehu Beach. I have been visiting the beach for 62 years and for the past year I now permanently reside here with my family.

There are many day visitors to the beach, especially during the summer, holiday, and weekends, this includes holiday makers, tourists, and 'the locals'. The nearest toilet facility is 20kms away at Porangahau township.

Note, there is the QE2 project of planting native trees soon to begin at Whangaehu Beach.

Lets keep this environment pristine for the wildlife and people who access this beautiful beach.

Regards

Dianna Karamaena

(local resident)

Leigh Collecutt

From: Wufoo <no-reply@wufoo.com>
Sent: Friday, 12 April 2019 4:34 PM
To: Thrive
Subject: Annual Plan 2019/20 Consultation - Feedback [#107]

Categories: Red category

Submitters Ian Walker

Name

Postal 

Address 185 Stanton Road R.D.4
Waipukurau 4284
New Zealand

Day Phone 068588885
Number

Mobile Phone 0274424654
Number

Email ian.walker@kilgaren.co.nz

Do you wish No
to present
your
comments to
council in
person at a
hearing?

Please note that your submission (including any personal information supplied) will be made available to Councillors and the public. Submissions Close on 12 April 2019 at 5pm

1. Tell us what you think about the proposal that Council give a \$250,000 suspensory loan to Water Holding CHB to keep the prospect of water storage alive in Central Hawke's Bay.

Water has become a precious commodity in the world and will be the most valued resource within the next 10 years. Water can be the underlying resource that will drive the economic growth of the CHB community as well as improving

the sustainability of the environment that already exists.

All efforts must be made to investigate the options that are available for the CHB and greater HB area to store, distribute and utilize the available water resource which we have in this area, to promote the economic and environmental sustainability for the future of the area.

Thus I support the proposal to issue a suspensory loan to Water Holdings CHB to enable them to have a comprehensive, thorough and intelligent investigation of the options for the water utilization and management there of.

2. Do you agree with the changes to the Revenue & Financing Policy? Yes. For too long there has been an attitude of reducing cost of rates without considering the long term investment in the area, with the outcome that infrastructure maintenance has been short changed and is now necessitating major capital investment to gain proper functionality.

3. Do you support the establishment of the Disaster Relief Fund Trust? Yes because it is out community responsibility, and as long as there is a process to ensure that the funds are spent in a prudent and responsible manner.

4. Do you have any feedback on the Draft Environmental Strategy? Protecting the environment and enhancing the sustainability of that environment is to be encouraged, and I believe can be achieved parallel to economic growth.

Any other suggestions? Keep up the good work.

Leigh Collecutt

From: Wufoo <no-reply@wufoo.com>
Sent: Friday, 12 April 2019 4:35 PM
To: Thrive
Subject: Annual Plan 2019/20 Consultation - Feedback [#108]

Categories: Red category

Submitters Name wade stoddart

Postal Address



331 ireland road porangahau
porangahau 4293

Day Phone Number 068555193

Night Phone Number 068555193

**Do you wish to present your comments to
council in person at a hearing?** No

Any other suggestions? we need more tar seal up cooks tooth road we have 30 houses up the
road and have not had any new tarseal in 25 years it is very dangerous
for the school bus in winter

Leigh Collecutt

From: Wufoo <no-reply@wufoo.com>
Sent: Friday, 12 April 2019 4:47 PM
To: Thrive
Subject: Annual Plan 2019/20 Consultation - Feedback [#109]

Submitters Nicolette Brasell

Name

Postal



Address 85 Abbotsford Road
Waipawa 4210

Day Phone 857 8960
Number

Mobile 0272961160
Phone
Number

Email nicolette@abbotsfordoaks.co.nz

Do you No
wish to
present
your
comments
to council
in person
at a
hearing?

Please note that your submission (including any personal information supplied) will be made available to Councillors and the public. Submissions Close on 12 April 2019 at 5pm

1. Tell us what you think about the proposal that Council give a \$250,000 suspensory loan to Water Holding CHB to keep the prospect of water storage alive in Central Hawke's Bay.

I am against the CHBDC giving a loan of any amount of money to Water Holdings Ltd. The rates levied are to provide essential services and not for providing loans to a private entity. It would also set a precedent.

When Tim Gilbertson was the Mayor, I was a district councillor for a term. During that time I got to know very well how Tim thinks and I was not impressed by his reasoning on most things. Therefore, quite apart from the lending issue, I do not have any confidence in any project that involves Tim Gilbertson, particularly in a leading role.

Whilst I can fully appreciate the dilemma that CHBDC faces in respect of water resources, I cannot agree with lending money, paid in by ratepayers, to a private entity. Saying that it will not impact rates because the money is already there is not strictly true as we will have to catch up in the future.

It is interesting to note that all the items CHBDC lists as projects they are working on, appear very much the same as when I was a councillor. On the whole though, you seem to be doing a better job than some of your predecessors.

You are able to comment on anything included in the Consultation Document or provide any other feedback.

Need more room?
You can attach extra pages but please make sure they include your name and contact information.

Submission Form

Your Details

Submitters Name: Anne Wallace
Postal Address: 321 Pukeora Scenic Rd, R.D. Whakapara
Day Phone: 06 8587383 Night Phone: _____
Mobile: _____ Email: wawallace@inspire.net.nz

Do you wish to present your comments to Council in person at a hearing? Yes ☐ No ☒

Please note that your submission (including any personal information supplied) will be made available to Councillors and the public. Submissions Close on 12 April 2019 at 5pm.

Submission Questions

1. Tell us what you think about the proposal that Council gives a \$250,000.00 suspensory loan to Water Holdings CHB Ltd to keep the prospect of water storage alive in Central Hawke's Bay.

I object based on
1/ Unknown process used by CHB to assess the risk associated with making a loan of ratepayer funds to a private company and their lack of consideration of how alternative uses could have more certain positive outcomes.
2/ Doubt that CHB will get sufficient sign up and consequently

3. Do you support the establishment of the Disaster Relief Fund Trust?

2. Do you agree with the changes to the Revenue & Financing Policy?

funding to build a large scale water storage scheme. in the light of the RWSS failing.
3/ Environmental impact of intensive farming that would eventuate from large scale water storage.

4. Do you have any feedback on the Draft Environmental Strategy?

A consideration -
1/ I am concerned about the proximity of the sewage treatment ponds and refuse transfer stations to the Tukituki river in the event of a 100 year flood.
2/ urban dwellers should be encouraged to collect rainwater and recycle greywater for garden use.

Any other suggestions?

You are able to comment on anything included in the Consultation Document or provide any other feedback.

Need more room?

You can attach extra pages but please make sure they include your name and contact information.

Submission Form

Your Details

Submitters Name: Dawn LeLievre
Postal Address: 14 Wallace Road Waipukurau

Day Phone: Night Phone:

Mobile: 0275434300 Email: dawn.lelievre@gmail.com

Do you wish to present your comments to Council in person at a hearing? Yes ☐ No ☒

Please note that your submission (including any personal information supplied) will be made available to Councillors and the public. Submissions Close on 12 April 2019 at 5pm.

Submission Questions

1. Tell us what you think about the proposal that Council gives a \$250,000.00 suspensory loan to Water Holdings CHB Ltd to keep the prospect of water storage alive in Central Hawke's Bay.

I do not agree.

3. Do you support the establishment of the Disaster Relief Fund Trust?

No

2. Do you agree with the changes to the Revenue & Financing Policy?

4. Do you have any feedback on the Draft Environmental Strategy?

Please see attached.

Any other suggestions?

Submission on the proposed CHB District Council Annual Plan 2019-20

Suspensory Loan

There is no way the CHB District Council should be giving a suspensory loan (i.e. a hand out) to the private company Water Holding CHB Limited.

This is like a reoccurring nightmare where lessons of the environmental impact don't seem to be learnt.

Ratepayers have already spent a fortune on the failed Ruataniwha dam. It was found to be both financially and environmentally unsound. Why would ratepayers want to gift \$250,000 to a group of wealthy Central Hawke's Bay gentlemen for their so called water for all private company? The towns don't have a water storage/shortage problem they have a pumping problem. If farmers and growers have water storage/shortage problems they need to sort their own water storage as part of their own businesses or perhaps invest their own money into Water Holdings CHB Limited. They must remember should they decide to do this that a large or any water storage scheme will need to adhere to the Regional Council's Plan Change 6.

If Central Hawke's Bay needs more water for the health and sustainability of the rivers and aquifers then plant more trees. Don't cut them down to make room for even more irrigators and then pour on more fertiliser that leaches into the aquifer and rivers killing off eco-systems and making water undrinkable. The rivers and aquifers are already in a poor state as a result of water consents that were given out in the past for intensive farming.

New Zealand does not need to feed the world as argued by many in favour of a large dam for local irrigation. We don't need to produce more and more produce at the expense of the environment as Water Holding CHB Limited obviously think we do. While a large water storage scheme may benefit a few farmers and growers in the short term. A healthy environment benefits everyone now and also the generations of the future.

Environmental and Sustainability Strategy

- Managing our impact on waterways
- Conserving water
- Managing for climate change

It is great to see the Central Hawke's Bay District Council has Environmental and Sustainability Strategy. I am at odds to see how the management of the impact on waterways, the conservation of water and the management of climate change are to be met when the CHB Council want to give the private company Water Holdings CHB \$250,000.

Water Holdings CHB have purchased the intellectual property rights of the failed Ruataniwha dam, which are the plans and consents for a large dam on the Makaroro River. Any dam on the Makaroro River will only give the green light for more allocation and thus intensive farming and cropping which will be detrimental to the health of the water ways and aquifer.

When looking at sustainable water retention solution for the community I would be opposed to Managed Aquifer Recharge, where water is taken from the rivers and pumped back underground into the aquifer.

I would like to see 'Tranche 2' off the table, because 'Tranche 1' although legally consented has been a terrible mistake. Sucking huge amounts of water each year from the Ruataniwha aquifer for predominantly dairy has led to the depletion of the aquifer and poor health and low flows of our rivers. It is no wonder the Tikokino and Onga Onga residents are complaining about a shortage of water.

I also do not want to see Plan Change 6 that came into effect in July 2018 stopped and deferred. Time has run out, improving the health of our rivers and eco-systems cannot be put on hold any longer.

My other concern is that Debbie Hewitt is the Chair of the Tukituki Water Task Force. This Task Force is responsible for environmental vitality. Debbie Hewitt was a very vocal advocate promoting the Ruataniwha dam; an environmentally unsound scheme.

- Increasing recycling and reducing waste to landfill

Now that plastics 3-7 are no longer being sought for recycling in overseas countries, CHB should follow the Hastings District Council and only put out plastics numbers 1 and 2; this would help to streamline our recycling process and make consumers aware of just how much other plastic will end up in the landfill if we do not change the way our products are packaged.

Has the CHB District Council thought of going back to paper rubbish bags or better still having a wheelie bin for household rubbish, a wheelie bin for recycling and one for green waste? This would be a more environmentally friendly and efficient way to collect rubbish, recycling and green waste and prevent recycling and rubbish blowing all over the place on windy days.

Dawn Le Lievre

Leigh Collecutt

From: Ali Schaper <alischaper@icloud.com>
Sent: Friday, 12 April 2019 4:18 PM
To: Thrive
Subject: Submission to Draft Annual Plan
Attachments: Submission.pdf

Please accept this submission by email - I am not able to come in, or to use the website today.
I don't wish to speak to it.
Cheers - Ali Schaper

Sent from my iPad

SUBMISSION to CHB District Council
Response to the Draft Annual Plan
RE: Suspensory Loan to Water Holders CHB Ltd

FROM: Ali Schaper (ratepayer)
220 Plantation Rd
Ongaonga
alischaper@icloud.co
09 8566654

Thankyou for the opportunity to respond to your draft Annual Plan, much of which I approve of.

Submission:

I am opposed to the gifting/lending of any money from any fund held by the CHB District Council to the Water Holdings CHB Ltd. (WHCHB)

There are two reasons for this:

1. The unsound business case, based on an emotive appeal to save CHB from a disaster
2. The time-line implied

1.Unsound business case

When the Water Holdings CHB Ltd held their first public meeting in the Waipawa Theatre in February 2019, it was clear that some of them at least were making an emotive appeal to the public. It was emotive because their rationale for the existence of the company was the urgency for our region to have a long-term solution to water-storage. The implication was that without their gallant efforts, the region would fall into decline. (One of the directors did not wish to be blamed by his descendants for doing nothing to save the region from the disaster which would come if no increased irrigation system was created.)

It is my perception that changes in land-use are afoot in our region, and that some of these changes include sustainability in their visions.

While there will always be threats to any region, rural or urban, the perceived lack of water for irrigation (and the threat of new minimum flows) is currently not an issue: the summer of 2018/19 did not see the predicted minimum flow crisis.

And the region did not begin a slow decline after the shelving of the original RWSS.

There could well be threats in the near future to the region due to national economic downturn or even global recession. There are certainly quantifiable threats to the region from global warming and Climate Change. NIWA scientists see 2030 as the date beyond which it will be too late to ameliorate global warming: our current government is under pressure to reduce carbon emissions NOW. There's a crisis.

2. The Time-line

Water Holdings, if successful with their funding, will emerge with an intention to build a dam. Or not build one. This will not be before 2021.

Then the plans and designs will be prepared and delivered, at the earliest 2025, and then investors will be sought to find the funds to begin building. Optimistically, building might begin by 2026. Pessimistically, they may not find sufficient investors.

It is highly unlikely that our region will see any 'benefit', either in irrigation delivery or so-called flushing flows' until 2030. So whatever crisis individuals and businesses are facing regarding water will not be addressed for at least another 10 years

The HB Regional Council is currently, along with many stakeholders, establishing the scientific basis for an overview of water use for the entire Hawkes Bay Region. When the research is verified and accepted, then it will be time to debate the state and use of the tributaries, rivers, and aquifers. This information will be in the public domain, and available within the next two years.

In the Discussion Paper prepared by the CHB District Council, 4 factors are identified as being the focus for WHCHB's scrutiny of the Intellectual Property (IP). Factors 1 and 2 would I imagine be available using standard engineering data -not exclusive to the possible dam on the Makaroro River. Also these engineering specifics are most likely to be clearly identifiable in the RWSS IP for any engineer: if not, it would certainly raise questions regarding the soundness of the IP purchased.

Factor 3 is an interesting one: I would like to remind the Council that these 'delivery' plans were never satisfactory in the RWSS - in places badly researched (*e.g. The proposal to use such tributaries as Kahahakuri Stream for delivery was dropped in the scheme when it was discovered that this stream does not 'hold' water well, especially since water races and 're-aligning' of said streams to allow deep bore pivot irrigators) - and the uncertain delivery systems was one of the reasons the scheme could not get enough potential users to sign up.

In my observation, The RWSS was always going to fail because of its poor business case. It struggled to get investors.

So establishing "the length and breadth of the distribution network" (Factor 3) is indeed a crucial factor, dependent of course, on who the potential users might be, and how much they are prepared to pay or invest.

Perhaps the borrowed \$650,000 might go some way to establishing this. The 4th factor is precisely already in the public domain and the WHCHB will be able to benefit from the science already being undertaken by the Regional Council.

I have other objections, but they are based on the claims the WHCHB make on the environmental advantages of their Vision - this can be vigorously debated if the Water Holder's funding Investment Split is successful.

I am horrified our splendid council has even entertained this possibility.

Thankyou
Ali Schaper

Need more room?

You can attach extra pages but please make sure they include your name and contact information.

Submission Form

Your Details

Submitters Name: PETER KITTOW.
Postal Address: 648 TOD ROAD. WAIPAWA 4271.
Day Phone: (06) 8578774 Night Phone: (06) 8578774
Mobile: (0274) 446226 Email: _____

Do you wish to present your comments to Council in person at a hearing? Yes ☒ No ☐

Please note that your submission (including any personal information supplied) will be made available to Councillors and the public. Submissions Close on 12 April 2019 at 5pm.

Submission Questions

1. Tell us what you think about the proposal that Council gives a \$250,000.00 suspensory loan to Water Holdings CHB Ltd to keep the prospect of water storage alive in Central Hawke's Bay.

Please, attached sheet.

3. Do you support the establishment of the Disaster Relief Fund Trust?

Yes, - a good idea.

2. Do you agree with the changes to the Revenue & Financing Policy?

Yes.

4. Do you have any feedback on the Draft Environmental Strategy?

AT THIS POINT IN TIME THE ANSWER IS NO.

Any other suggestions?

Submission Details to C.H.B.D.C. 12 APRIL 20

PETER KITTOU.- 648 TOU RD., R.D.1., WAIPAWA 427
ph 06 8578774
Cell 0274 446 226

1 I disagree with the proposal to give
W.H.C.H.B. LTD. a suspensory loan of
\$250,000

2 As ratepayers of both C.H.B.D.C. + H.B.R.C.
we have already contributed \$20M plus to
this initiative which H.B.R.C. sold on as
the intellectual property for approximately
\$100K.

3 How does council intend to appease those
rural ratepayers in the eastern regions
who may possibly see no benefit?

4 To me, this appears simply to be a gift to
a private, and possibly commercial
company.

5 Although of no direct benefit to "rural"
people it is my view that council would
be better to apply these funds to their
'urban' 3 waters projects, currently in hand

Thankyou for the opportunity to submit
this submission

Yours faithfully
Peter Kitto

Need more room?

You can attach extra pages but please make sure they include your name and contact information.

Submission Form

Your Details

Submitters Name: FRED NICHOL

Postal Address: 274 PORANGAHU Rd WAIPUKURAU 4282

Day Phone: 06- 8589733

Night Phone:

Mobile:

Email:

judy.nichol3@gmail.com

Do you wish to present your comments to Council in person at a hearing? Yes ☒ No ☐

Please note that your submission (including any personal information supplied) will be made available to Councillors and the public. Submissions Close on 12 April 2019 at 5pm.

Submission Questions

1. Tell us what you think about the proposal that Council gives a \$250,000.00 suspensory loan to Water Holdings CHB Ltd to keep the prospect of water storage alive in Central Hawke's Bay.

THIS LOAN SHOULD BE A REPAYABLE LOAN WITH INTEREST. NOT A FREE BE FOR THE AGRICULTURE INDUSTRY - AS IN THE DAM, SHOULD HAVE BEEN BUILT YEARS AGO BY CENTRAL GOVERNMENT

2. Do you agree with the changes to the Revenue & Financing Policy?

DONT FOR GET PENSIONERS MAY HAVE AN EXPENSIVE HOME - PENSIONERS DO NOT KEEP UP WITH YOUR RATES. RISES WHAT WILL HAPPEN WHEN THE PRIVATE HOMES CAN NO LONGER GET INSURANCE. PRIVATE HOMES WILL DROP IN VALUE

Any other suggestions?

3. Do you support the establishment of the Disaster Relief Fund Trust?

YES CLIMATE CHANGE IS GOING TO PUT HEAVY STRAIN ON ALL OF HAWKES BAY INFRASTRUCTURE - IT WOULD BE A LOT CHEAPER TO STOP EMISSIONS ECT. IF YOU HAVE THE COURAGE TO PUSH AN INDUSTRY IN THAT DIRECTION

4. Do you have any feedback on the Draft Environmental Strategy?

THE H.B.R. COUNCIL HAVE DESTROYED THE INFRASTRUCTURE OF THE TUITUKI RIVER, UNLESS YOU CAN STOP THIS RIVER RACTING (TAKE H.B.R.C. TO COURT) IF NECESSARY

You are able to comment on anything included in the Consultation Document or provide any other feedback.

Need more room?

You can attach extra pages but please make sure they include your name and contact information.

Submission Form

Your Details

Submitters Name: BRUCE STEPHENSON
 Postal Address: 41 KUNANENHA STREET - WAIPANA 4210
 Day Phone: 06/8578170 Night Phone: _____
 Mobile: 0274 421757 Email: BRUCE@STEPHENSONTRANSPORT.CO.NZ
 Do you wish to present your comments to Council in person at a hearing? Yes ☒ No ☐

Please note that your submission (including any personal information supplied) will be made available to Councillors and the public. Submissions Close on 12 April 2019 at 5pm.

Submission Questions

1. Tell us what you think about the proposal that Council gives a \$250,000.00 suspensory loan to Water Holdings CHB Ltd to keep the prospect of water storage alive in Central Hawke's Bay.

IT IS A VERY POSITIVE MOVE WHICH I SUPPORT. THE PROJECT NEEDS SUPPORT FINANCIALLY TO COMPLETE A FEASIBILITY TO FIND IF IT IS WORTH PROCEEDING

3. Do you support the establishment of the Disaster Relief Fund Trust?

YES ESPECIALLY THAT CENTRAL GOVT REQUIRES THE INSTRUMENT TO PROVIDE FUNDING.

2. Do you agree with the changes to the Revenue & Financing Policy?

YOU WILL NEVER GET THE PERFECT SOLUTION TO THIS, LIKE PLAYING WITH UNDERS & OVERS

4. Do you have any feedback on the Draft Environmental Strategy?

AN EXTRA LARGE HOLE THAT REQUIRES AN ENDLESS APPETITE FOR MONEY

Any other suggestions?

FOR LAND
 WHAT PROVISION IS THERE IN THE PLAN FOR FUTURE INDUSTRIAL & COMMERCIAL DEVELOPMENT IN WAIPANA & OTANE?

Need more room?

You can attach extra pages but please make sure they include your name and contact information.

Submission Form

Your Details

Submitters Name: D. Murphy

Postal Address: 563 Porangahau Rd Waiuku

Day Phone: Night Phone: 06 8589869

Mobile: 0274458847 Email:

Do you wish to present your comments to Council in person at a hearing? Yes ☐ No ☒

Please note that your submission (including any personal information supplied) will be made available to Councillors and the public. Submissions Close on 12 April 2019 at 5pm.

Submission Questions

1. Tell us what you think about the proposal that Council gives a \$250,000.00 suspensory loan to Water Holdings CHB Ltd to keep the prospect of water storage alive in Central Hawke's Bay.

YES

How much water are the new apple trees from Onga/Tiko water supply?

3. Do you support the establishment of the Disaster Relief Fund Trust?

Yes

2. Do you agree with the changes to the Revenue & Financing Policy?

Being rural \$4-71 out of every \$10 does not apply to us

4. Do you have any feedback on the Draft Environmental Strategy?

As farmers we are going to get plenty of govn. TAXES any way please go easy

Any other suggestions?

You have done a great job catering for the young - pools hockey - Biking tracks - what about the elderly ever increasing

Need more room?

You can attach extra pages but please make sure they include your name and contact information.

Submission Form

Your Details

Submitters Name: MAURFEN CLEGG
 Postal Address: 57 TAVISTOCK ROAD WAIAPUKURU
 Day Phone: 06 858 8711 Night Phone: SAME
 Mobile: _____ Email: CARRY@xtao.co.nz

Do you wish to present your comments to Council in person at a hearing? Yes ☐ No ☒

Please note that your submission (including any personal information supplied) will be made available to Councillors and the public. Submissions Close on 12 April 2019 at 5pm.

Submission Questions

1. Tell us what you think about the proposal that Council gives a \$250,000.00 suspensory loan to Water Holdings CHB Ltd to keep the prospect of water storage alive in Central Hawke's Bay.

I am not impressed with this idea. I think they should sort it out for themselves. Most farmers have to organise their own water, not get somebody to help pay for it.

3. Do you support the establishment of the Disaster Relief Fund Trust?

2. Do you agree with the changes to the Revenue & Financing Policy?

4. Do you have any feedback on the Draft Environmental Strategy?

Any other suggestions?

A Submission to the CHB District Council regarding
The Otherside of the Street

This document is the result of a prompt and produce in haste.

My oral submission was I appreciated far, from coherent – to be overtaken by emotion in such a venue is a very new experience for me. Clearly I must formulate a clearer conception for the Council but since I have discussed none of the views contained herein with the Settlers Committee and am anxious not to alienate them and this document will serve a dual purpose.

My present course of action results from my failure to extract from Councillor Minehan his views about the Settlers Museum and my hasty 'Right' to his 'Make a Submission'!

In general terms I am of a certain now quite common demography 'idle' I have heard it said. My then partner and I were regular Century Theatre film goers, I was rather taken by surprise by its inclusion in the Napier MTG development and given a personalised tour by a cultured but very young woman from a far flung shore upon whom it seemed to fall to present the most impressive, newly opened to great fanfare and now deserted pristine establishment. My interest was piqued – The Govett-Brewster /Len Lye Centre is in an international league and have an accessible staff, the Sarjeant is undergoing expensive redevelopment, Patea is not without interest as are Wairoa and Gisborne. That is my scope.

These establishments are embedded in a community and their often problematic relationships with their respective councils I regard as a fair indicator, a barometer in relation to what have crystallised as 'my interests' in this latter phase of my life. My probably too informal involvement at Settlers has become important to me. I do not wish to die a cynic.

At the last Settlers AGM it was moved that a Maori name be added 'Settlers' and would have passed had the Maori representative not arrived late but in the nick of time.

The Maori community as I understood it was of the opinion that it was premature. I have only heard incidental talk of a Maori extension and this submission is my reaction to that limited knowledge. My observation as

an interested member of the public was that the proceedings were perfunctory and a supplementary name window dressing.

Having waded in so to speak I must examine my own prejudices, if that is what one inevitably must have.

I tabled the 2015 publication 'Indifference to Difference' by the Ashoka University professor Madhavi Menon in response to a calculated mass murder but the author's uptake of the eminent French intellectual Alain Badiou's thought in an analysis of art, museums, literature and the imagination aimed at a move beyond identity politics is widely relevant.

Inclusiveness and the role of the Museum in relation to Maori is not in question but there are now many people here from diverse cultural backgrounds who might reasonably expect to have a forum. Many of these cultures have also been subject to brutal Imperialist disruptions.

Only a fool can fail to see the advantages of intensive industrial agriculture but the heavy impact must be mitigated at the societal level as well. Time is now of the essence, the need to adjust to low impact lifestyles may come quite quickly and ideally it needs to be as a matter of choice, willingly as a result of education. Family may just extend beyond the proverbial ¼ acre and the marae – into the gallery perhaps as an arena where 'one must contend with the Muses and ones identity despite all the best and earnest efforts is not quite what one can entirely identify with. Regulation is a blunt and often counterproductive instrument. The apparent good intentions of liberal governments are being seen as top heavy encumbrances and violently resisted in France significantly by the provincial working class.

As tedious as 'community' actually is it seems infinitely preferable to the Brexit type standoff. CHB is sufficiently wealthy, unburdened with huge urban populations and aware enough of our short history and advantages for it to be worth the attempt to foster a better informed conversation. Settlers has sufficient standing to underpin an innovative reorientation that might ameliorate by way of contextualisation what those not directly involved in the agricultural sector might well perceive as a neo-liberal reinvention of the land grab. I suggest that there be established a visible reference point that stages a variety of legitimate perspectives in relation to the common good.

Perhaps I shouldn't mention it to the Council but it is hard to represent what I consider important about Settlers, what needs to be identified and preserved in any well thought out extension. The curator's 14 year old daughter sometimes fronts the establishment. It is tempting for me to say Settlers is a secular Church. It is not an informal setting, certain behaviours are natural to it. It is a sanctuary. Remembering that the bank was also a family residence – an unthinkable conflation of categories by current norms. Confrontation with the unexpected is the important jolt for the imagination – theatre where one least expects it is what constitutes the Event, the trace of which is a long process of assimilation, of remembrance. Cultural change is now too often deemed to be a matter of delivery rather than the creation of the space in which people are able to work through their responses.

Settlers is a period setting in a country with a short European history. The reception area at Settlers, perhaps because the space creates a certain intimacy it is the setting for an important conversational opportunity for many visitors in my observation. Perhaps they feel the need to account for who they are and in so doing call precisely that account into question. That division between a bank and a house which is too wide in our time collapses as people step into a formal setting that is outside the workaday readymade delivery of the States agenda. Necessary though it may be something has been nurtured outside the structure that it is the States function to administer; there has to be a presentation before there can be a legitimate representation.

I have now realised where the phrase 'the other side of the street' originates in my experience. Lloyd Geering was rescued from that other island following a high profile heresy trial in the late 60's and accommodated by the Victoria University philosophy department in the upstairs back bedroom where he occupied the newly created Religious Studies chair. In those days the side of Kelburn Parade against the hill was still apparently a residential street although unobtrusive signs indicated it was occupied by the university. It was my home in my undergraduate years. In my first year tutorial group was a nun and a retired coroner both older than the professor who was like us all finding his way. It certainly wasn't informal but there was something different about it that I've come to regard as a privileged opportunity.

Lloyd Geering last time I saw was an active centenarian, he was widely published internationally and unusually for NZ, an intellectual with a public profile, people used to flock to his public lectures at St. Andrews on the Terrace. Heresy in the '60's! for bringing to the public's attention matters that were aired in protestant Germany in the 1850's. Biblical scholarship translated by the self-educated high profile English authoress George Eliot. The association with heresy is being burnt at the stake; it is the intellectual version of mass murder – a cruel silencing. It could be said that the clearance of all the natural vegetation from halfway up the ranges to the coast is also a silencing, a form of mass murder. Being dramatic is what literature is about. Lloyd Geering was actually more or less a farm boy who did a Maths MA and via a good works phase became an Old Testament scholar and analyst of the cultural shift to secularism. He was very concise.

Madhavi Menon the author of 'Indifference of Difference' which I tabled also occupies a newly created chair, interestingly in a newly created university in Delhi. Ashoka could also be thought of as a model for the Settlers extension if it were taken up as a community project and privately funded. There is widespread concern about neo liberal education agendas failing the potential leaders in the next phase. On the micro scale one looks at ones neighbours not with the sense that they lack the integrity for good judgement but that they are on an economic treadmill in an ambient Kiwi anti-intellectual environment and rely on received opinion with little appreciation of the nature of the risky commitments required to arrive at a conception of the truth, let alone putting a version of that truth to the test against the established body of knowledge. I notice people sell properties and wonder at their manner of coping in a vacuum filled with cash.

The 'Muse' part of Museum needs to be more widely appreciated, contemplation and imagination require a sanctuary, appropriate stimulus and time.

The Czech curator I regard as a friend. I have not discussed this submission with her. She has reaffirmed her professional abilities most recently by negotiating the tricky obstacles to the mounting of a Maori exhibition. That she is able to draw from national collections speaks for itself. The Directorship of an expanded version of Settlers would be

crucial, high profile casualties seem to be not uncommon, and contention often mounts.

My submission is actually that the Council should be looking to empower existing talent to explore opportunity in the cultural as well as other legitimate fields.

Settlers has a certain status and character, literally stands forth as an architectural form and is both unique and uniquely positioned. Let other institutions with large urban bases store the collections and mount the high cost of exhibitions that so often look like the interior of a cruise ship – too perfect to be credible. Mounting low cost exhibitions is a skill with its own rewards. Continuity of directorship is crucial to the maintenance of the character that would need to be carried through to any extension. I would suggest that not to tick the expectable boxes is what often gains the attention of the outside visitor and although the locals would inevitably be initially divided it would be what brought the community in behind it.

Settlers – Who are we? The ones who assume an identity in a setting that is not our native land.

‘Iconic’ is now a rather clichéd term but I don’t believe it is an exaggeration applied to the museums north facing offering to the main road traveller. With the courtyard framed by the exhibition hall wing occupied with the horse drawn implement collection, back dropped by the rose garden and hedges (amateurishly tended by me I might add).

The other south facing wall of the historic building is currently an ugly neglected alley with exposed plumbing and broken bottles thrown over the fence from the liquor store which is the colour of the blue biro I’m currently writing with – it complements nothing and makes the BP gas station look like a park by comparison.

I merely recklessly throw this in but I don’t think it out of the question the ultra-modern could be made to work on the site of the removal if it were sufficiently back to leave the historic building prominent it would blend.

What is this new face that Settlers is going to turn to the visitor to HB as he expectantly crossed the bridge? Waipawa is after all the historic centre piece of the Settler’s World with potentially a very bright future. What if in an ideal world we were able to replace the drunken prejudice

that the liquor outlet represents with a positive face to the inclusive future that the Maori proposal clumsily attempts.

If the dam builders desires are to be met why shouldn't they assume the responsibilities that go with them. As the Asoka brief demonstrates mere engineering is not now enough – successful business needs to foster an environment where the brightest and best bring a breath of experience to the new opportunities. Since we've mentioned Paris (or if I didn't, I wanted to) think of what is now the focal point when the Louvre presents its exterior to the world – a faceted jewel, a glass pyramid. The old BNZ is very valuable I believe but it is what it is and do people really see it? BP is at least fresh and new, spatially open and hardly a backwater regards the movement of people.

Personally I am rather enjoying Britain's Brexit indignity.

The Anglo American Banking Military Industrial complex has a used by look that suggests it would be wise to cultivate our independence, look out for falling debris and become a little more than a trading nation who will do it with anyone. By which I mean preserve and cultivate our means of adaption. The standard of maintenance of our physical resources now depends on the cultivation of the willingness on the part of those directly involved and our human resources must in the light of recent events be considered anew. We are far too prone to believing our own propaganda.

The village church, aside from Christianity, had much to commend it in terms of social function – representative governments come and go and have partisan agendas. There needs to be a visible institution with more substance and less power in a community. England hasn't been able to be relied upon for some time now and as a nation we have little to fall back on – we have abused our physical resources and now in a most ugly fashion our human.

Corrin Coleman